arXiv:1611.09807v2 [gr-qc] 12 Dec 2016

Redshift of a photon emitted along the black hole horizon

A. V. Toporensky

*Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Lomonosov Moscow State University and Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan 420008, Russia**

O. B. Zaslavskii

Department of Physics and Technology, Kharkov V.N. Karazin National University, 4 Svoboda Square, Kharkov 61022, Ukraine and Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan 420008 Russia†

In this work we derive some general features of the redshift measured by radially moving observers in the black hole background. Let observer 1 cross the black hole horizon emitting of a photon while observer 2 crossing the same horizon later receives it. We show that if (i) the horizon is the outer one (event horizon) and (ii) it is nonextremal, received frequency is redshifted. This generalizes previous recent results in literature. For the inner horizon (like in the Reissner-Nordström metric) the frequency is blueshifted. If the horizon is extremal, the frequency does not change. We derive explicit formulas describing the frequency shift in generalized Kruskal- and Lemaitre-like coordinates.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q; 04.20.Cv; 04.70.Bw

I. INTRODUCTION

Redshift is one of well-known effects of gravity that plays an essential role in relativistic astrophysics. Its description entered many textbooks. In particles, it concerns propagation of light in the black hole background. In doing so, main attention is focused on properties of light outside the event horizon. Less is discussed about these properties inside the horizon.

*Electronic address: atopor@rambler.ru

†Electronic address: zaslav@ukr.net

And, strange as it may seem, the question about the redshift of a photon moving along the horizon dropped out from consideration almost completely. There is general discussion of this issue in [1] for the Reissner-Nordström - de Sitter metric but relation between redshift or blueshift and the nature of the horizon was not revealed there.

Meanwhile, there are several points that can serve as a motivation for such consideration.

(i) Recently, important methodic issues were discussed in [2], [3] concerning properties of the world visible by an observer falling into the Schwarzschild black hole and communicating by radio signals with another falling one. In doing so, some incorrect statements were made in [2] about "ghosts" of the first observer supposedly waiting for the second one on the horizon. As was argued in [3], there are no such ghosts at all although the second observer does receive a signal from the first one emitted at the moment of crossing the horizon. This required detailed calculation of the frequently shift for a photon propagating along the horizon with the result that finite redshift occurs in this case.

In the present work, we generalize these observations, demonstrate that such a redshift is present for any horizon of a spherically symmetric nonextremal black hole and find its value.

(ii) If the metric contains an inner horizon (say, for the Reissner-Nordström black hole), of special interest is calculation of the frequency shift for a photon emitted along such a horizon. We demonstrate that now, instead of a redshift, a blueshift occurs. And, in the limit when a photon is received near the bifurcation point, the blueshift becomes infinite. This establishes the connection of the issue under discussion with the analogue of the Bañados-Silk-West (BSW) effect [4]. It consists in the infinite growth of the energy of colliding particles in the centre of mass frame. Originally, it was found near the event horizon but, later on, it turned out that another similar effect is valid also near the inner horizon (see [6] and references therein). From another hand, the issue under discussion can be considered as an effect supplemental to a well-known instability of the inner horizon [7], [8].

(iii) In addition to the propagation along the nonextremal horizon, there is also a question what happens in the extremal case. We argue that, by contrast to two previous ones, now the frequency shift is absent.

(iv) In papers [2], [3] the Kruskal-Szekerez (KS) coordinate system was used. We also exploit it. In addition, it is of interest to compare the results using another powerful system - the Lemaitre one. We construct such a system for a whole class of metrics that includes

the Schwarzchild one as a particular case.

In the present work, we restrict ourselves by the simplest case of radially moving observers.

II. MOTION OUTSIDE THE NONEXTREMAL EVENT HORIZON

We consider the metric

$$ds^2 = -f dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \tag{1}$$

We suppose that the metric has the event horizon at $r = r_+$, so $f(r_+) = 0$. (For simplicity, we assumed that $g_{00}g_{11} = -1$ but this condition can be relaxed easily.) We consider now a nonextremal black hole. Near the horizon,

$$f \approx \kappa(r - r_+), \tag{2}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{f'(r_+)}{2}$ is the surface gravity.

We restrict ourselves by a radial motion of a massive particle (we call it "observer"). The geodesic equations of motion for such a particle read:

$$m\dot{t} = \frac{E}{f}, \tag{3}$$

$$m\dot{r} = -Z,\ Z = \sqrt{E^2 - fm^2}, \tag{4}$$

E is the conserved energy $E = -mu_t$ of a particle, dot denotes derivative with respect to the proper time τ.

For a photon having the wave vector k^μ, the equations of motion are

$$k^t = \frac{\omega_0}{f}, \tag{5}$$

$$k^\phi = \frac{l}{g_\phi}, \tag{6}$$

$$k^r = -Q,\ Q = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - f\frac{l^2}{r^2}} \tag{7}$$

where $\omega_0 = -k_0$ is the conserved frequency, $l = k_\phi$ is the conserved angular momentum.

Let a free falling observer emit or receive a photon. Its frequency measured by this observer is equal to

$$\omega = -k_\mu u^\mu. \tag{8}$$

Talking into account (3) - (7), we find after straightforward calculation:

$$\omega = \frac{E\omega_0 - \varepsilon ZQ}{mf}. \tag{9}$$

Here, $\varepsilon = +1$ if both objects (an observer and a photon) move in the same direction and $\varepsilon = -1$ if they do this in opposite ones. If $\varepsilon = -1$, this corresponds to head-on collision between a massive and a massless particles and means that either an observer receives a photon emitted from a smaller value of r or a falling observer emits a photon in the backward direction.

Near the horizon, $f \ll 1$. Let $\varepsilon = +1$ and an observer looks back. Near the horizon, he will see the frequency

$$\omega \approx \frac{1}{2}(\frac{El^2}{m\omega_0 r_+^2} + \frac{\omega_0 m}{E}). \tag{10}$$

If $\varepsilon = -1$,

$$\frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \approx \frac{2E}{mf_{em}}. \tag{11}$$

Here f_{em} is the value of the metric function in the point of emission. Let an observer emit in his frame a photon having the frequency ω and travelling to infinity. Then, at infinity it will be received with the frequency ω_0 having the order f_{em}. Eq. (11) agrees with the standard result for the Schwarzschild metric (see Sex. XII.102 of [12]).

It is instructive to compare this to another situation usually discussed in textbooks when an emitter is not free falling but is static. In the latter case, the frequency at infinity $\omega_0 = \omega\sqrt{f}$ (see, e.g. eq. 88.6 of [12]) has the order $\sqrt{f_{em}}$. Obviously, the difference can be attributed to the motion of an emitter (the Doppler effect makes the redshift stronger).

III. PHOTON EMITTED AT THE HORIZON

We see from (11) that, as the point of emission of a photon is approaching the horizon, the frequency measured at infinity becomes smaller and smaller. However, this formula does not describe what happens if the photon is emitted exactly on the horizon. Then, $\omega_0 = 0$ and $l = 0$, $f_{em} = 0$ from the very beginning (see also below), the photon does not reach infinity at all and moves along the leg of the horizon. Here, original coordinates (1) are not applicable since the metric becomes degenerate on the horizon. This can be remedied with the use of standard KS coordinates or its generalization.

Let us introduce coordinates U and V, where

$$U = -\exp(-\kappa u),\ V = \exp(\kappa v), \tag{12}$$

$$u = t - r^*,\ v = t + r^*. \tag{13}$$

$$r^* = \int^r \frac{dr}{f} \tag{14}$$

is the tortoise coordinate. It is seen from (12) that

$$UV = -\exp(2\kappa r^*). \tag{15}$$

Then the metric reads

$$ds^2 = FdUdV + r^2 d\omega^2, \tag{16}$$

Here,

$$F = -f\frac{du}{dU}\frac{dv}{dV}. \tag{17}$$

For the transformation (12),

$$F = \frac{f}{UV\kappa^2}, \tag{18}$$

$F \neq 0$ on the horizon. It is clear from (1), (15) that $F = F(r)$. For example, for the Schwarzschild metric,

$$F = -\frac{4r_+^3}{r}e^{-\frac{r}{r_+}}, \tag{19}$$

provided the constant of integration is chosen in (14) in such a way that $r^* = r + r_+ \ln\frac{r-r_+}{r_+}$.

We consider the vicinity of the future horizon, on which $U = 0$. Along this horizon, V takes finite values.

Near the horizon

$$r^* \approx \frac{1}{2\kappa}\ln\frac{r-r_+}{r_+} + const, \tag{20}$$

$$UV \approx \frac{(r-r_+)}{r_+}C_0, \tag{21}$$

where C_0 is a constant. In the Schwarzschild case, $C_0 = e$. It is instructive to rewrite equations of motion for massive particles outside the event horizon (3) - (4) in terms of the KS coordinates and take the horizon limit afterwards. For an observer moving inward they read

$$mu^U = \frac{E+Z}{f}\frac{dU}{du}, \tag{22}$$

$$mu^V = \frac{E-Z}{f}\frac{dV}{dv}. \tag{23}$$

Taking also into account (12), we have

$$m\dot{U} = -\frac{(E+Z)}{FV\kappa}, \tag{24}$$

$$m\dot{V} = \frac{(E-Z)}{UF\kappa}. \tag{25}$$

In a similar way, we have for a photon moving in the outward direction:

$$k^U = \frac{\kappa U}{f}(Q-\omega_0) = \frac{Q-\omega_0}{F\kappa V}, \tag{26}$$

$$k^V = \frac{\kappa V}{f}(\omega_0+Q) = \frac{\omega_0+Q}{FU\kappa}. \tag{27}$$

Let λ be the affine parameter. On the future horizon $U=0$, $k^U = \frac{dU}{d\lambda} = 0 = k_V$ that agrees with (26) if we put $\omega_0 = 0 = f = Q$ in the right hand side. Thus only k^V remains nonzero. It follows from the geodesic equations that on the horizon

$$\frac{dk^V}{d\lambda} = -\Gamma^V_{VV}\left(k^V\right)^2. \tag{28}$$

Here the Christoffel symbol $\Gamma^V_{VV} \sim \frac{\partial F}{\partial V} \sim \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r}{\partial V} \sim f = 0$ on the horizon. Therefore, $k^V = const$ along the horizon generator. We have from (8), (24) that on the horizon

$$\omega = --Fk^V u^U, \tag{29}$$

now

$$\omega = 2\frac{E}{Vm\kappa}k^V. \tag{30}$$

Let observer 1 cross the horizon at some $V = V_1$ and observer 2 does it later at $V = V_2$. It follows from (12), (13) that $V_2 > V_1$. Assuming that observers are identical in that they have the same values of E and m, we obtain

$$\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} = \frac{V_1}{V_2} < 1. \tag{31}$$

This agrees with eq. (A16) of [3] obtained for the Schwarzschild metric by another method.

It is also instructive to check that indeed $\omega_0 = 0$. By definition, ω_0 is a constant Killing frequency

$$\omega_0 = -k_\mu \xi^\mu, \tag{32}$$

where ξ^μ is the Killing vector. In the original coordinates (1),

$$\xi^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0),\ \xi_\mu = (-f, 0, 0, 0). \tag{33}$$

Passing to the KS coordinates, one obtains

$$\xi^U = -\kappa U,\ \xi^V = \kappa V. \tag{34}$$

Then, we see from (32) that

$$\omega_0 = -F(k^V \xi^U + k^U \xi^V) = F\kappa(k^V U - k^U V). \tag{35}$$

On the future horizon, $k^U = 0$ and $U = 0$, so we see that indeed $\omega_0 = 0$.

Also, it is easy to check that for a photon propagating along the horizon $l = 0$. Indeed, if we write down the condition $k_\mu k^\mu = 0$ on the future horizon, we obtain that $k_\phi = 0$. This agrees with previous observations concerning properties of trajectories on the horizon [9], [10].

IV. GENERALIZED LEMAITRE FRAME

A. Form of metric

It is instructive to reformulate the redshift value in the Lemaitre-like coordinates ρ, τ. In contrast to the Kruskal ones, this frame is based on free falling particles. The Lemaitre frame is well known for the Schwarzschild metric. Now, we suggest its generalization valid for the metric (1).

The general theory of transformations that make the metric of a spherically symmetric black hole regular, was developed in [11]. For our goals, it is sufficient to find a particular class of transformation that (i) make the metric regular on the horizon, (ii) generalize the Lemaitre metric (in particular, the metric should have $g_{\tau\tau} = -1$). We make the transformation

$$\rho = t + \int \frac{dr^*}{\sqrt{1-f}}, \tag{36}$$

$$\tau = t + \int dr^* \sqrt{1-f} \tag{37}$$

where r^* is given by (14). Eqs. (36), (37) are direct generalization of eqs. 102.1 of [12]. Then, it is easy to check that

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + (1-f)d\rho^2 + r(\rho,\tau)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \tag{38}$$

On the horizon, $f = 0$, the metric coefficient is regular, $g_{\rho\rho} = 1$. In the particular case of the Schwarzschild metric, $f = 1 - \frac{r_+}{r}$ and we return to the standard formula for the Lemaitre metric, when r is expressed in terms of ρ and τ. The coordinates (36), (37) are suitable for the description of a black hole including both the outer R region and the contracting T- one [5]. In a similar way, one can use the expanding version that would result in changing sign at τ.

Now, we want to pay attention to some nice properties of the metric (38). The proper distance between points 1 and 2 calculated for a given τ is equal to $l = \int d\rho\sqrt{1-f}$. Requiring $d\tau = 0$ in (37) and substituting dt into (36), we obtain from (14), (36) that

$$l = r_2 - r_1. \tag{39}$$

It is also instructive to calculate the velocity. Let, say, point 1 be fixed and we are interested in the velocity of free fall $v = \frac{dl}{d\tau}$ of a particle with $E = m$, where $r_2 \equiv r$ changes depending on time. Then, it is easy to find from (4), (39) that

$$v = -\sqrt{1-f}. \tag{40}$$

Taking derivative one more time, we obtain $\frac{dv}{dr} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-f}}\frac{df}{dr}$. On the horizon, this gives us

$$\left(\frac{dv}{dr}\right)_H = \kappa, \tag{41}$$

where we took into account that for our metric the surface gravity $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{df}{dr}\right)_H$. Subscript "H" means that the corresponding quantity is calculated on the horizon. Eq. (41) will be used below. It is worth noting that for the extremal horizon ($\kappa = 0$) we have also $\left(\frac{dv}{dr}\right)_H = 0$.

B. Redshift: from Kruskal coordinates to Lemaitre ones

The above frame is especially useful for the presentation of the redshift (31). On the horizon, $f = 0$. Then, in its vicinity, we obtain from (13), (36), (37) that on the horizon

$$v = \tau + C_1 = \rho + C_2, \tag{42}$$

where $C_{1,2}$ are constants. As a result, we obtain from (31) that

$$\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} = \exp(\kappa(\tau_1 - \tau_2)) = \exp(\kappa(\rho_1 - \rho_2)). \tag{43}$$

Thus the Lemaitre frame allows us to present the resulting redshift along the horizon in a simple and intuitively clear picture – the redshift grows (and, consequently, and emitter looks dimmer) exponentially with respect to Lemaitre time that passes from emitting to observation.

V. PHOTON EMITTED AT THE INNER HORIZON

Let us consider the situation similar to that considered above. An observer moves beyond the event horizon r_+ and approaches the inner horizon $r_- < r_+$. When he crosses it, he emits a photon. Another observer who also crosses the inner horizon later, receives this very photon. What can be said about its frequency?

A. The coordinates and metric

The metric between the outer and inner horizons represents so-called T region [5]. For the definiteness, we consider T- region that corresponds to a black hole but similar formulas are valid for the T+ regions (white holes). Now, the metric can be formally obtained from (1) if one takes into account that for $r_- < r < r_+$ the metric function $f < 0$, so spacelike and timelike coordinates mutually interchange. We can write $f = -g$,

$$y = t, T = -r. \tag{44}$$

Then, the metric can be rewritten in the form

$$ds^2 = -\frac{dT^2}{g} + g dy^2 + T^2 d\omega^2. \tag{45}$$

Equations of motion for a massive particle have the form

$$m\dot{y} = \frac{P}{g}, \tag{46}$$

$$m\dot{T} = z \equiv \sqrt{P^2 + m^2 g}, \tag{47}$$

where $P = mu_y$ is the conserved momentum.

Now, the KS transformation somewhat changes and reads

$$U = \exp(-\kappa_- u), \tag{48}$$

$$V = \exp(\kappa_- v), \tag{49}$$

where r^* is given by the formula

$$r^* = \int \frac{dr}{g}. \tag{50}$$

The metric takes the form (16) with

$$F = -\frac{g}{UV\kappa_-^2}. \tag{51}$$

where κ_- is the surface gravity associated with the inner horizon and $F \neq 0$ is finite there.

Repeating calculations step by step, we arrive to the same formula (31)

$$\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} = \frac{V_1}{V_2}. \tag{52}$$

Now, we would like to pay attention that according to (12), V is a monotonically increasing function of v. It is seen from (13), (14) that for a fixed u, $\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} > 0$. However, it is seen from (44) that event 2 that takes place after 1, has $r_2 < r_1$. As a result, $v_2 < v_1$ and $V_2 < V_1$. Therefore, $\omega_2 > \omega_1$ and now we have the blueshift. Thus this is related to the fact that r and t coordinates change their character in the region under discussion.

The results (43) and (52) can be united in one formula

$$\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} = \exp[\left(\frac{dv}{dr}\right)_H (\rho_1 - \rho_2)] = \exp[\left(\frac{dv}{dr}\right)_H (\tau_1 - \tau_2)], \tag{53}$$

where $\tau_2 > \tau_1$, $\rho_2 > \rho_1$. For the outer horizon we can use eq. (41) that gives us (43) and we have the redshift. For the inner horizon, the counterpart of (41) gives us $\left(\frac{dv}{dr}\right)_H = -\kappa_-$, where now $\kappa_- = \frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{df}{dr}\right|_H$ is the surface gravity of the inner horizon (where $\left(\frac{df}{dr}\right)_H < 0$). As a result, we obtain here the blueshift.

B. Relation to other effects

In the previous subsection we have shown that the blueshift at the inner horizon (and, consequently, the energy absorbed by the observer) grows exponentially with the Lemaitre time between the moments of emission and observation. Here we compare this interesting effect with others known in literature.

If two particle collide, their energy $E_{c.m.}$ in the centre of mass frame can be defined on the point of collision according to

$$E^2_{c.m.} = -P_\mu P^\mu, \tag{54}$$

$P^\mu = p^\mu_1 + p^\mu_2$ being the total momentum of two particles. If particle 1 is massive and particle 2 is massless, $p^\mu_1 = mu^\mu$ and $p^\mu_2 = k^\mu$, where we put the Planck constant to unity. As a result,

$$E^2_{c.m.} = m^2 + 2m\omega. \tag{55}$$

In the example under discussion, if $V_1 = O(1)$ and $V_2 \to 0$, the frequency $\omega_2 \to \infty$. Then, $E_{c.m.} \to \infty$ as well and we encounter a counterpart of the BSW effect near the inner horizon. But $V = 0$ on the future horizon $U = 0$ is nothing else than the bifurcation point [6]. Thus the present results for the blueshift agree with the previous ones in the limit when the bifurcation point is reached.

There is also another issue to which we can compare the present consideration. As is well known, near the inner (Cauchy) horizon an instability develops inside black holes. This happens when a decaying flux of radiation coming from infinity crosses the event horizon and concentrates near the inner one - see, e.g. Chapter 14.3.1 in [7]. (For a modern review of the subject see [8].) However, now we consider radiation which is not coming from infinity but is emitted by an observer who crosses the inner horizon. The resulting energy flux from an emitter at the inner horizon appears to be finite, though it is not restricted from above if $V \to 0$.

Thus as far as the radiation near the inner horizon is concerned, we have three situations: (i) the analogue of the BSW effect (relevant near the bifurcation point), (ii) blueshift of a photon in the situation under discussion (relevant near any point of the inner horizon, the blueshift is in general finite), (iii) the instability of the inner horizon (infinite blueshift due to concentration of radiation along the horizon). Cases (i) and (ii) are closely related in the

sense that in the limit when the point where a photon is absorbed approaches the bifurcation point, one obtains (i) from (ii). Meanwhile, in case (iii) the effect is unbounded as such that points to potential pathology connected with the nature of the *inner* event horizon.

VI. SPECIAL CASE: EMISSION AT THE BIFURCATION POINT

Until now, we implied an observer to cross the horizon in some intermediate point. Meanwhile, there is a special case that does not fall into this scheme. This is a case when a path of the emitter passes through the bifurcation point (sphere, if angle variables are taken into account) where the past and future horizons intersect. It corresponds to $V = 0$ along with $U = 0$. Such a situation was already discussed for the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter metric in Sec. IV b of [1]. It follows from the results of [1] that different cases are possible here: $\omega_2 < \omega_1$, $\omega_2 = \omega_1$, $\omega_2 > \omega_1$. On the first glance, this disagrees with our results described above since we obtained either redshift (for the event horizon) or blueshift (for the inner one). Fortunately, this contradiction is illusory. Now, we will explain how one can obtain the results for the bifurcation point from ours.

We assume that the emitter 1 (say, for the motion in the Schwarzschild metric) moves from the inner expanding T+ region (i.e. white hole) [5], crosses the past horizon and enters the R region. Afterwards, it crosses the event horizon falling into a black hole. Let, as before, the emitter and receiver have equal masses $m_1 = m_2 = m$. However, now we cannot put $E_1 = E_2$. This is because a particle with $E = m$ would escape to infinity instead of falling into a black hole. Therefore, we must use more general formula based on (30)

$$\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} = \frac{E_2}{E_1}\frac{V_2}{V_1}. \tag{56}$$

The first factor can be interpreted as a Lorentz boost responsible for the Doppler effect. For $E_1 = E_2$ we return to the case considered by us above but now the first factor is not equal to one and plays now a crucial role.

If, by assumption, particle 1 falls into a black hole, this means that it must bounce from the potential barrier in the turning point $r = r_0$. According to equations of motion (4), this means that

$$E = m\sqrt{f(r_0)}. \tag{57}$$

If $r_0 \to r_+$, $f(r_0) \to 0$, so $E \to 0$ as well. More precisely, it is seen from (2), (21) that

$$E \sim \sqrt{r_0 - r_+} \sim \sqrt{|U|\, V}. \tag{58}$$

As a result,

$$\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \sim \frac{\alpha E_2}{V_2},\ \alpha \equiv \sqrt{\frac{|V|_1}{U_1}}. \tag{59}$$

In the limit when the trajectory of particle 1 passes closer and closer to the bifurcaiton point $U = 0 = V$, α remains finite. Using equations of motion in the T region (see the previous Section), it is easy to show that in the limit $V \to 0$, $U \to 0$, the component of the velocity u^U contains just this factor α.

Thus depending on relation between α and V_2 one can obtain any result for ω_2 (redshift, blueshift, the absence of the frequency shift). In this sense, the general formula (56) reproduces both "standard" fall of the emitter in a black hole and the behavior of the emitter that passes through the bifurcation point.

VII. EXTREMAL HORIZON

Let an observer crosses the (ultra) extremal horizon r_+. By definition, this means that near it the metric function

$$f \sim (r - r_+)^n \tag{60}$$

where $n = 2$ in the extremal case and $n = 3, 4...$ in the ultraextremal one. The difference with the nonextremal case consists in a different nature of transformation making the metric regular. Let the two-dimensional part of the metric has the same form as in (1). The subsequent procedure is known - see, e.g., [13], [14] (Sec. 3.5.1). We use the same coordinates u, v and want to find appropriate coordinates U, V,

$$V = V(v),\ U = U(u). \tag{61}$$

Now, we are interested in the situation with emission of a photon exactly along the horizon.Then, near the horizon it follows for the tortoise coordinate (14) that

$$r - r_+ \sim |r^*|^{\frac{1}{1-n}}, \tag{62}$$

$$f \sim |r^*|^{\frac{n}{1-n}}. \tag{63}$$

We consider the metric near the future horizon where v is finite, $r^* \to -\infty$, $u = v - 2r^* \to +\infty$. We have

$$f \sim u^{\frac{n}{1-n}}. \tag{64}$$

We try transformation that behaves like

$$U \sim u^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}, \tag{65}$$

so that $U \to 0$. Then, it is easy to check that the metric has the form (16) where $F \neq 0$ is finite on the horizon. To find the frequency, we must use the expression for u^U (22) in which now (65) is valid, so $\frac{dU}{du} \sim u^{\frac{n}{1-n}}$. It is seen from (64) that $u^U \to const$ on the horizon and does not contain V. Taking into account that k^V is a constant along the horizon generator as before, we come to conclusion that V drops out and $\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} = const$. We see that in the horizon limit the quantity V does not enter the frequency. In this sense, $\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$ does not change along the horizon, so redshift or blueshift is absent.

In a sense, it is quite natural. Indeed, the extremal horizon is the double one. The inner and outer horizons merge. But for an inner horizon we had blueshift, for the outer one we had redshift. Together, they mutually cancel and produce no effect.

The absence of the redshift or blueshift formally agrees with (43) if one puts $\kappa = 0$ there. However, for (ultra)extremal black holes the Kruskal-like transformation look very different, so we could not use eq. (43) directly. Therefore, it was not obvious in advance, whether or not the redshift for the extremal horizon can be obtained as the extremal limit of a nonextremal one. Now, we see that this is the case.

VIII. SUMMARY

Thus we showed that for emission along the outer horizon redshift occurs and derived a simple formula that generalized the one previously found in literature. We also showed that along the inner horizon blueshift occurs and related it to the BSW effect. We also showed how the previously known results for the emission at the bifurcation point are reproduced from a general formula and lead to a diversity of situations (redshift, blueshift or the absence of frequency shift). For (ultra)extremal horizons the effect is absent.

These observations have a quite general character in agreement with the universality of black hole physics. We also generalized the Lemaitre frame and in this frame derived a

simple and instructive formula for a redshift along the horizon in terms of the Lemaitre time and the surface gravity.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University.

---

[1] K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 421 (1979).

[2] A. T. Augousti, M. Gawełczyk, A. Siwek, and A. Radosz. Touching ghosts: observing free fall from an infalling frame of reference into a Schwarzschild black hole. Eur. J. Phys., 33:1–11, 2012.

[3] K. Kassner, Why ghosts don't touch: a tale of two adventurers falling one after another into a black hole, [arXiv:1608.07511].

[4] M. Bañados, J. Silk and S.M. West, Kerr Black Holes as Particle Accelerators to Arbitrarily High Energy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103,** 111102 (2009) [arXiv:0909.0169].

[5] I. D. Novikov, Commun. Sternberg Astron. Inst. 132, 3 (1964)132.

[6] O. B. Zaslavskii, Acceleration of particles near the inner black hole horizon, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 024029 (2012) [arXiv:1110.5838].

[7] V. P. Frolov and I. D. Novikov. Physics of black holes. Basic Concepts and New Developments. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. 1998.

[8] A. J. S. Hamilton and A. P. P. Avelino, Phys. Rep. 495 (2010) 1.

[9] T. Harada and M. Kimura, Collision of an innermost stable circular orbit particle around a Kerr black hole, Phys. Rev. D **83,** (2011) 024002 [arXiv:1010.0962].

[10] O. B. Zaslavskii, Near-horizon circular orbits and extremal limit for dirty rotating black holes, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 044017 (2015) [arXiv:1506.00148].

[11] P. I. Fomin, Coordinate transformation that eliminate singularities on the gravitational radius in the Schwarzschild metric, Sov. Phys. JETP, **27,** 483 (1968).

[12] L. D. Landau and E. M. Liefshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields Pergamon Press, New York (1971).

[13] S. Liberati, T. Rothman, S. Sonego, Nonthermal nature of incipient extremal black holes, Phys.Rev. D **62,** 024005 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/0002019].

[14] K. A. Bronnikov and S. G. Rubin, Black holes, Cosmology and Extra dimensions. World Scientific, (2013).